

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,395	09/18/2001	Younger Ahluwalia	A34355-070015.0181	1196
7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS, L.L.P.			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
44th FLOOR 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10112-0228			1771	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	09/955,395	AHLUWALIA, YOUNGER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Ula C Ruddock	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ree - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No the cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	October 2003.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-19 is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specific and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific and the	ccepted or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in absection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority docume is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. Ents have been received in its have been received in its have been received in its have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies estic priority under 35 U.S. first sentence of the spectary or ovisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No een received in this National Stage not receivedC. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cification or in an Application Data Sheet. s been receivedC. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	. □	ou Current (DTO 442) Pones No.(c)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's response filed October 9, 2003.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election of Group I is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahluwalia (US 5,965,257) in view of GB 2167060 (GB '070) or Dugan et al. (US 4,994,317) or Dombeck (US 6,228,497). Ahluwalia disclose a structural article comprising a substrate having an ionic charge which is coated with a coating having essentially the same ionic charge. The coating consists of a filler material and a binder material. The substrate is preferably fiberglass and the filler is selected from flay ash, charged calcium carbonate, and ceramic microspheres. The binder is preferably acrylic latex (abstract). The articles are planar in shape and the substrate is coated on one side or both sides depending on the intended application (col 3, ln 42-44). The structural material may be coated with a water repellent material, an algaecide, an antifungal material, an antibacterial material, a surface friction agent, a flame retardant material, and a coloring dye (col 3, ln 54-67 to col 4, ln 1-3). Ahluwalia disclose the claimed invention except for the teaching that the filler

Art Unit: 1771

material also includes clay and that the material comprises 65-90% glass fibers, 20-80% clay filler, and from 80-20% weight of acrylic latex binder material.

GB 2167060 discloses a fire resistant material comprising glass wool fibers and one or more selected clays (abstract). The clays are selected to provide an endothermic reaction in the fire resistant material (page 2, In 5-11). Dugan et al. (US 4,994,317) disclose a fabric suitable for use as a flame barrier fabric comprising a flame durable textile fabric (abstract). The fabric comprises inorganic yarns such as glass (col 2, In 37). To provide enhanced resistant to flame and heat, hydrated clay may be incorporated in a silicone layer (col 3, In 58-61). Dombeck (US 6,228,497) disclose a high temperature resistant glass fiber composition comprising glass fibers and a latex binder (abstract). Clay fillers are frequently added to inorganic fiber products to improve their fire resistance (col 1, In 19-21 and col 5, In 4-7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the clay filler of either GB 2167060 or Dugan et al. or Dombeck in the structural article of Ahluwalia, motivated by the desire to increase the flame and heat resistance of the article.

Furthermore, it should be noted that optimizing the amounts of glass fibers, clay filler, and binder material in the composition are result effective variables. For example, the amount of clay filler directly affects the flame resistance of the composition. The amount of binder material directly affects the strength of the composition. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a material comprising 65-90% glass fibers, 20-80% clay filler, and from 80-20% weight of acrylic latex binder material, since

Art Unit: 1771

it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the present invention, one would have optimized these amounts motivated by the desire to obtain an article with increased strength and flame resistance.

Rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 9, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that Ahluwalia teaches a structural article, whereas the present invention discloses a fabric material useful for imparting fire resistance to clothing and furniture. This argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims, because the claims as presently written, only require a fire resistant fabric. The claims do not require a fabric material useful for imparting fire resistance to clothing and furniture. Furthermore, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed product is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art product satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Applicant further argues that GB 2167060 (GB '060), Dombeck, and Dugan et al. do not provide the skilled artisan with any motivation that clay should be combined with a binder to make a charged coating. This argument is not persuasive, because as shown above, these references were used for their disclosure of a fire resistant material comprising clay. Furthermore, only the Ahluwalia reference was used for its teaching of a charged coating. Applicant also argues that neither GB '060, nor Dombeck, nor Dugan et al. teach the addition of clay to impart flexibility to the material. This argument is not

Art Unit: 1771

commensurate in scope with the claims, because the claims do not require that the fabric be neither flexible nor drapable. Furthermore, it appears as though Applicant is arguing that the Examiner's reason to combine the references is not the same as Applicant's. It is the Examiner's position that if it is obvious to combine references for one reason, it is obvious to combine references for all reasons. *In re Graf,* 145 USPQ 197 (CCPA 1965); *In re Finsterwalder,* 168 USPQ 530 (USPQ1970). Applicant also argues that it is not seen how the recited amounts of clay filler would be considered a result effective variable for the production of a fabric material with increased strength and flame resistance. This argument is not persuasive because GB '060, Dombeck, and Dugan et al. all disclose that adding clay to a substrate increases its flame resistance, thus providing motivation for altering the amount of clay filler.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1771

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C Ruddock whose telephone number is 703-305-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

UCR HUL

Wa Puddock
Ula C. Ruddock
Primary Examiner

Tech Center 1700